

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 06094572 2

MATERIALS ON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Copyright © 1988 by W.L. Hayhurst

TORONTO

Faculty of Law

University of Toronto

Page  
12

LAW LIBRARY  
JAN 25 1988  
FACULTY OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FACULTY OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO


MATERIALS ON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Copyright © 1988 by W.L. Hayhurst

TORONTO

Faculty of Law

University of Toronto



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2018 with funding from  
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/materialsonintel00hayh>

## FACULTY OF LAW - UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

## 1988 Syllabus

## 1. TRADE MARKS AND UNFAIR TRADING:

References: Fox, "Canadian Law of Trade Marks", 3rd ed., (1972)  
 Robic-Leger, "Canadian Trade Marks Act Annotated"  
 (1984)  
 Hughes on Trade Marks (1984)  
 Kerly, "Trade Marks and Trade Names", 12th ed. (1986)  
 McCarthy, "Trademarks and Unfair Competition", 2nd ed.  
 (1984)

(1) Trade Marks Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. T-10

(2) Goodwill:

Haig v. Forth Blending, (1953), 70 R.P.C. 259, 261 ("Dimple"  
 bottle)  
 Star Industrial v. Yap Kwee Kor, [1976] F.S.R. 256, 269-270  
 (Ace Brand)  
 Bollinger v. Costa Brava, [1960] R.P.C. 16; [1961] R.P.C. 116  
 (Champagne)  
 Institut National v. Andres Wines, (1987), 60 O.R. (2d) 316  
 (Champagne)  
 Vine Products v. MacKenzie, [1969] R.P.C. 1, 23-24, 27, 29  
 (Sherry)  
 Bulmer v. Bollinger, [1978] R.P.C. 79, 93-100, 117 (Champagne  
 Cider)  
 Erven Warnink v. Townend, [1980] R.P.C. 31 (Advocaat)

(3) Section 7 of the Trade Marks Act:

Riello v. Lambert, (1986), 4 C.P.R. (3d) 324  
 MacDonald v. Vapor, [1977] 2 S.C.R. 134  
 Asbjorn Horgard v. Gibbs/Nortec, (1987), 14 C.P.R. (3d) 314

(4) The elements of passing off:

(a) Length of time plaintiff has traded:

Licensed Victuallers v. Bingham, (1888), 38 Ch. D. 139,  
 142-143  
 Stannard v. Reay, [1967] R.P.C. 389 (Mr. Chippy)  
 Maxwell v. Hogg, (1867) L.R. 2 Ch. App. 307 (Belgravia)  
 B.B.C. v. Talbot, [1981] F.S.R. 228 (Carfax)

Elida Gibbs v. Colgate-Palmolive, [1983] F.S.R. 95 (tree theme)  
 TV Guide v. Publications La Semaine, (1986), 9 C.P.R. (3d) 368 (Tele-SEMAINE)  
 C.B.S. v. Logical Games, (1984), 221 U.S.P.Q. 498

(b) Territorial extent of plaintiff's reputation:

Chelsea Man v. Chelsea Girl, [1987] R.P.C. 189  
 Ewing v. Buttercup Margarine, (1917), 34 R.P.C. 232, 237, 239  
 Stork Restaurant v. Sahati, (1948), 166 F. 2d 348  
 Anheuser-Busch v. Budejovicky Budvar, [1984] F.S.R. 413, (Budweiser)  
 Taco Co. v. Taco Bell, (1982), 42 A.L.R. 177  
 Valle's Steak House v. Tessier, (1980), 49 C.P.R. (2d) 218  
 Orkin v. Pestco, (1985), 5 C.P.R. (3d) 433  
 Barratt v. Auto Electric, (1955), 21 C.P.R. 30  
 Brewster Transport v. Rocky Mountain Tours, [1931] S.C.R. 336 (Royal Blue Line)  
 White Horse Distillers v. Gregson, [1984] R.P.C. 61

(c) Risk of damage:

My Kinda Town v. Soll, [1983] R.P.C. 407  
 Day v. Brownrigg, (1878), 10 Ch. D. 294, 304-305 (Ashford Lodge)  
 Kean v. McGivan, [1982] F.S.R. 119 (Social Democratic Party)  
 British Legion v. British Legion, (1931), 48 R.P.C. 555  
 McCulloch v. May, (1948), 65 R.P.C. 58, 64-67 (Uncle Mac)  
 Johnston v. Orr Ewing, (1882), 7 A.C. 219, 229-230 (two elephants ticket)  
 Newsweek v. B.B.C., [1979] R.P.C. 441, 447  
 Walter v. Emmott, (1885), 54 L.J. Ch. 1059, 1061-1065 (The Mail)  
 Cadbury-Schweppes v. Pub Squash, [1981] R.P.C. 429  
 Philip Morris v. Reynolds, (1976), 188 U.S.P.Q. 289 (Lights)  
 Fletcher Challenge v. Fletcher Challenge, [1982] F.S.R. 1  
 Champagne Moët et Chandon v. Sainsbury, (1982) 6 E.I.P.R. D-127  
 Big O v. Goodyear, (1977) 195 U.S.P.Q. 417 (Big Foot)  
 Plomien v. National School of Seamanship, (1943), 60 R.P.C. 209  
 Fisher v. Star, (1921), 132 N.E. 133 (Mutt & Jeff)  
 Shaw v. Golden Harvest, [1972] R.P.C. 559 (the One-armed Swordsman)

(d) Similarity of marks:

Seixo v. Provezende, (1866), L.R. 1 Ch. App. 192, 194-7  
 Tartan v. Carling, [1970] S.C.R. 323 (Pilcan)  
 Saville v. June Perfect, (1941), 58 R.P.C. 147, 160-163

## (e) Similarity of activities:

Harrods Ltd. v. R. Harrod Ltd., (1923), 41 R.P.C. 74, 81, 87  
 Francis Day & Hunter v. Twentieth Century-Fox, [1940] A.C.  
 112 (The Man Who Broke the Bank at Monte Carlo)  
 Yale v. Robertson, (1928), 46 F. 2d 972, 973-974  
 Dallas Cowboys Cheerleaders v. Pussycat, (1979) 203 U.S.P.Q.  
 161  
 Notre Dame v. Twentieth Century-Fox, (1965), 259 N.Y.S. 2d 832  
 Annabel's v. Schock, [1972] R.P.C. 838, 844  
 Lego v. Lego, [1983] F.S.R. 155  
 Wombles v. Wombles, [1977] R.P.C. 99  
 Tavener Rutledge v. Trexapalm, [1977] R.P.C. 273 (Kojakpops)  
 Stringfellow v. McCain, [1984] R.P.C. 501  
 Children's TV Workshop v. Woolworths, [1981] R.P.C. 187  
 (Muppets)  
 Lorimar v. Sterling, [1982] R.P.C. 395 (Dallas)

## (f) Publicity rights:

Booth v. Colgate-Palmolive, (1973), 179 U.S.P.Q. 819  
 Sim v. Heinz, [1959] R.P.C. 75  
 Krouse v. Chrysler, (1974), 1 O.R. (2d) 225  
 Racine v. C.J.R.C., (1978), 17 O.R. (2d) 370  
 Athans v. Canadian Adventure Camps, (1978), 17 O.R. (2d) 425  
 Heath v. Weist-Barron, (1982), 62 C.P.R. (2d) 92  
 Zacchini v. Scripps-Howard, (1977), 433 U.S. 562  
 Carson v. Reynolds, (1980), 49 C.P.R. (2d) 57  
 Saccone v. Orr, (1981), 34 O.R. (2d) 317  
 Joseph v. Daniels, (1986), 11 C.P.R. (3d) 544  
 Multivision v. McConnell, (1983), 69 C.P.R. (2d) 1

## (g) Nature and extent of recognition and of relief:

Cellular v. Maxton, (1899), 16 R.P.C. 397, 408  
 Reddaway v. Banham, [1896] A.C. 199 (Camel Hair Belting)  
 Boswell-Wilkie v. Brian Boswell, [1985] F.S.R. 434 vard.  
 [1986] F.S.R. 479  
 Treasure Cot v. Hamley, (1950), 67 R.P.C. 89, 91 93

## (h) Descriptive terms:

Office Cleaning Services, Ltd. v. Westminster Window, (1946),  
 63 R.P.C. 39, 41-43  
 Fawcett v. Valentine, [1950] Ex. C.R. 246, 254 (True  
 Confessions)  
 Fawcett v. Popular Mechanics, (1937), 92 F. 2d 181  
 Havana Cigar v. Oddenino, (1924), 41 R.P.C. 47, 55-56, 61  
 (Corona)

## (i) Geographical terms:

Huntley v. Reading, (1893), 10 R.P.C. 277, 280-281



## (j) Surnames:

Chivers v. Chivers, (1900), 17 R.P.C. 420, 429-430

## (k) Name of the goods:

Linoleum v. Nairn, (1878), 7 Ch. D. 834

## (l) Evidence:

Burberrys v. Cording, (1909) 26 R.P.C. 693, 701, 704 (Slip-on)

## (m) Get-up:

Lever v. Goodwin, (1887), 4 R.P.C. 492, 506

Imperial Group v. Philip Morris, [1984] R.P.C. 293

Haig v. Forth, (1953), 70 R.P.C. 259, 261

Edge v. Niccolls, (1911), 28 R.P.C. 582, 588 594

Parke, Davis v. Empire, [1964] S.C.R. 351, 354, 357-358

Sears v. Stiffel, (1964), 376 U.S. 225

Compco v. Day-Brite, (1964), 376 U.S. 234, 237-9

Boston Hockey Assn. v. Dallas Cap, (1975), 510 F. 2d 1004

Job's Daughters v. Lindeburg, (1980), 63 F. 2d 912

## (n) Genuine goods:

Consumers Distributing v. Seiko Time, [1984] 1 S.C.R. 583

Revlon v. Cripps, [1980] F.S.R. 85

Wilkinson v. Cripps, [1982] F.S.R. 16

Illustrated Newspapers v. Publicity Services, (1938), 55  
R.P.C. 172

Wilts United Dairies v. Robinson, [1957] R.P.C. 220, 237 affd.  
[1958] R.P.C. 94

## (5) False or misleading representations:

Canada Safeway v. Manitoba Food & Chemical Workers, (1983), 73  
C.P.R. (2d) 234

Cambridge University Press v. University Tutorial Press,  
(1928), 45 R.P.C. 335, 345

Testro v. Tennant, (1985), 2 I.P.R. 469

Hubbuck v. Wilkinson, [1899] 1 Q.B. 86

DeBeers v. International G.E.C., [1975] F.S.R. 323

Polydor v. Harlequin, [1980] F.S.R. 26

S. & S. v. Rowell, [1966] S.C.R. 419, 424-425

Competition Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-23 as amended

R. v. Westfair, (1986), 11 C.P.R. (3d) 345

R. v. Cunningham, (1984), 13 C.P.R. (2d) 244

R. v. Irving Oil, (1980), 47 C.P.R. (2d) 179

R. v. Bristol-Myers, (1980), 45 C.P.R. (2d) 228

Pilote v. Rocois, (1986) 8 C.P.R. (3d) 145

R. v. Strong, Cobb, Arner, (1974), 13 C.P.R. (2d) 216



(6) Registration of trade marks:

Galanos v. Registrar, (1983), 69 C.P.R. (2d) 144  
 Gerhard Horn v. Registrar, (1983), 73 C.P.R. (2d) 23  
 GWG v. Registrar, (1981), 55 C.P.R. (2d) 1  
 Registrar v. Provenzano (1978), 37 C.P.R. (2d) 189, affd.  
 (1979) 40 C.P.R. (2d) 288  
 Johnson v. Marketing International, [1980] 1 S.C.R. 99  
 Bright v. Registrar, (1985), 4 C.P.R. (3d) 64  
 Unilever's Trade Mark, [1984] R.P.C. 155  
 Conde Nast v. Gozlan, (1980), 49 C.P.R. (2d) 250  
 Nicholson's Application, (1931), 48 R.P.C. 227, 260, mod.  
 (1932), 49 R.P.C. 88  
 Bombardier v. British Petroleum, (1972), 4 C.P.R. (2d) 204,  
 affd. [1973] F.C. 480  
 MacEachern v. National Rubber, [1964] Ex. C.R. 135, 142  
 Siscoe v. Munn, [1959] Ex. C.R. 455, 468-469  
 Manhattan v. Princeton, (1972), 4 C.P.R. (2d) 6  
 Mayborn v. Registrar, (1983), 70 C.P.R. (2d) 1  
 Tubeco v. Association Quebecoise, (1980), 49 C.P.R. (2d) 228  
 Porter v. Don the Beachcomber, [1966] Ex. C.R. 982  
 Wenward v. Dynaturf, (1977), 28 C.P.R. (2d) 20  
 Motel 6 v. No. 6 Motel, (1981), 56 C.P.R. (2d) 44, 55-56  
 Wian v. Mady, [1965] 2 Ex. C.R. 3  
 Marineland v. Marine Wonderland, [1974] 2 F.C. 558  
 Re Andres & Gallo, (1976), 25 C.P.R. (2d) 126

(7) Rights acquired by trade mark registration:

(a) Section 20: bona fide use:

Bonus v. Essex. [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 735, 753-754  
 Henkel v. Super Dragon, (1985), 2 C.P.R. (3d) 361  
 Talbot v. Webley, (1886), 3 R.P.C. 276  
 Stone v. Steelace, (1929), 46 R.P.C. 406, 416-419

(b) Section 22: depreciating the value of goodwill:

Clairol v. Thomas, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 552, 556, 568-570, 575  
 Syntex v. Apotex, (1985), 1 C.P.R. (3d) 145  
 Source Perrier v. Fira-Less, (1983), 70 C.P.R. (2d) 61

(c) Section 19: exclusive right to use:

Building Products v. B.P. Canada, (1961), 36 C.P.R. 121, 131  
 Dunlop v. Booth, (1926), 43 R.P.C. 139, 145-146  
 Champagne Heidsieck v. Buxton, (1930), 47 R.P.C. 28  
 Castrol v. Automotive Oil Supplies, [1983] R.P.C. 315

## (8) Distinctiveness:

## (a) Statutory definition, s. 2:

Registrar v. Hardie, [1949] S.C.R. 483, 489, 492  
 Liverpool Cable's Application (1929), 46 R.P.C. 99, 124  
 Lea's Application, (1913), 30 R.P.C. 216, 221-222  
 Crosfield's Application, (1910), 26 R.P.C. 837, 857  
 Aladdin v. Canadian Thermos, [1969] 2 Ex. C.R. 80, 113-114, 119-120  
 Anti-Monopoly v. General Mills, (1983), 216 U.S.P.Q. 588  
 Great Lakes v. The Noshery, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 622, 636  
 Home Juice v. Orange Maison, [1970] S.C.R. 942  
 Somerlite v. Brown, (1934), 51 R.P.C. 205, 239  
 Sarco v. Sarco, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 537, 542  
 "Bostitch" Trade Mark, [1963] R.P.C. 183, 197  
 "Weston" Trade Mark, [1968] R.P.C. 167, 184  
 "GE" Trade Mark, [1969] R.P.C. 418, 448, 454, revd. [1970] R.P.C. 339, 372, 395, revd. [1973] R.P.C. 297, 336  
 Moore Dry Kiln v. U.S. Natural Resources, (1977), 30 C.P.R. (2d) 40  
 Registrar v. Aciers, (1979), 40 C.P.R. (2d) 28  
 Philip Morris v. Imperial Tobacco, (1986), 7 C.P.R. (3d) 254

## (b) Effect of assignment:

Pinto v. Badman, (1891), 8 R.P.C. 181, 191-195  
 Globelegance v. Sarkissian, [1974] R.P.C. 603, 605  
 Rey v. Lecouturier, (1910), 27 R.P.C. 268  
 Lacteosote v. Alberman, (1927), 44 R.P.C. 211, 223-225  
 A & P v. Registrar, [1945] Ex. C.R. 233, 242  
 Dubiner v. Cheerio, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 524, 543  
 Breck's v. Magder, [1976] 1 S.C.R. 527  
 Leather Cloth v. American Leather Cloth, (1863), 4 De G.J. & S. 137, affd. (1865), 11 H.L.C. 523, 534-535

## (c) Effect of licensing:

Hensher v. Restawile, [1975] R.P.C. 31, 37  
 Coles v. Need, (1933), 50 R.P.C. 379, 386-388  
 Coca-Cola v. Pepsi-Cola, [1938] Ex. C.R. 263, 291-292  
 Robinson v. Finlay, (1878), 9 Ch. D. 487  
 Habib Bank v. Habib Bank, [1982] R.P.C. 1  
 Good Humor v. Good Humor, [1937] Ex. C.R. 61, 73-74  
 Gray Rocks v. Snowy Eagle, (1972), 3 C.P.R. (2d) 9  
 Chalet v. Foodcorp, (1983), 66 C.P.R. (2d) 56  
 Mayborn v. Registrar, (1983), 70 C.P.R. (2d) 1  
 Bowden Wire v. Bowden Brake, (1914), 31 R.P.C. 385, 392  
 Sund v. Beachcombers, (1961), 27 D.L.R. 2d 434  
 Gattuso v. Gattuso, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 609  
 Dubiner v. Cheerio, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 524, 538-544, 556-560, affd. [1966] S.C.R. 206, 221-222

Weight Watchers v. Weight Watchers, (1973), 8 C.P.R. (2d) 118  
Cheerio v. Cheerio, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 562  
Holly Hobbie Trade Mark, [1984] R.P.C. 329  
Mackenzie v. Busy Bee, (1978), 32 C.P.R. (2d) 196

## 2. COPYRIGHT:

References: Fox, "The Canadian Law of Copyright and Industrial Designs", 2nd ed. (1967)  
 Hughes on Copyright and Industrial Design (1984)  
 Copinger & Skone James, "Copyright", 12th ed. (1980)  
 Laddie, Prescott & Vitoria, "The Modern Law of Copyright" (1980)  
 Lahore, "Intellectual Property in Australia: Copyright" (1977)  
 Nimmer, "Copyright" (1979)

(1) Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30

(2) Incorporeal copyrights in corporeal works:

Canadian Admiral v. Rediffusion, [1954] Ex. C.R. 382  
 Re Dickens, [1935] 1 Ch. 267  
 Hay v. Sloan, (1958), 12 D.L.R. (2d) 397  
 Meikle v. Maufe [1941] 3 All E.R. 144  
 Netupsky v. Dominion Bridge, [1972] S.C.R. 368  
 DRG v Datafile (F.C.T.D., 20 Nov. 1987)

(3) The Berne and Universal Copyright Conventions:

Ludlow v. Canint, [1967] 2 Ex. C.R. 109

(4) Authorship and ownership:

Donoghue v. Allied, [1938] 1 Ch. 106, 109-110  
 Wood v. Boosey, (1867) L.R. 2. Q.B. 346  
 Hartnett v. Pinkett, (1953), 103 L.J. 204  
 Express Newspapers v. Liverpool Daily Post, [1985] F.S.R. 306

(5) Subject matter:

Redwood v. Chappell, [1982] R.P.C. 109  
 British Leyland v. Armstrong, [1982] F.S.R. 481  
 Francis, Day & Hunter v. Twentieth Century Fox, [1939] 4 D.L.R. 353, 358-361  
 Exxon v. Exxon Insurance Consultants [1982] R.P.C. 69  
 Ladbroke v. Hill, [1964] 1 All E.R. 465, 469, 475-478  
 CAPAC v. CTV, [1968] S.C.R. 676  
 British Northrup v. Texteam, [1974] R.P.C. 57, 68  
 Capital Cities v. CRTC, [1978] 2 S.C.R. 141  
 Tom Hopkins v. Wall & Redekop, (1985), 1 C.P.R. (3d) 348  
 vard. (1986), 6 C.P.R. (3d) 475  
 Cuisenaire v. South West Imports, [1969] S.C.R. 208  
 Apple v. Mackintosh, (1987), 78 N.R. 161

## (6) Originality:

Macmillan v. Cooper, (1923), 40 T.L.R. 186, 189  
 West Publishing v. Mead, (1986), 230 U.S.P.Q. 801  
 Hearn v. Meyer, (1987), 3 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1721  
 Interlego v. Tyco, [1987] F.S.R. 409, 473  
 Walter v. Lane, [1900] A.C. 539, 548-9, 556  
 Lipman v. Massachusetts, (1973), 475 F. 2d 565  
 Robertson v. Lewis, [1976] R.P.C. 169, 175  
 Time v. Geis, (1968), 293 F. Supp. 130  
 Football League v. Littlewoods, [1959] 2 All E.R. 546, 552, 555-556  
 British Columbia Jockey Club v. Standen, (1986), 8 C.P.R. (3d) 283  
 Financial Information v. Moody's, (1987), 1 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1279

## (7) Infringement:

## (a) Infringing acts

Performing Right Society v. Rangers, [1975] R.P.C. 626  
 Rank v. Dodds, [1983], 2 N.S.W.L.R. 553  
 Holland v. Van Damm, [1936] MacG. Cop. Cas. 69  
 Compo v. Blue Crest, (1980), 45 C.P.R. (2d) 1  
 Vigneux v. Canadian Performing Right, [1943] S.C.R. 348, 361; revd. [1945] A.C. 108  
 Warner Bros. v. C.E.S.M., (1971), 65 C.P.R. 215  
 Bishop v. Tele-Metropole, (1985), 4 C.P.R. (3d) 349, affd. 5 Nov. 1987  
 R. v. Loughheed, (1982), 59 C.P.R. (2d) 107  
 A&M Records v. Millbank, (1985), 1 C.P.R. (3d) 354

## (b) Was there copying?

Francis, Day & Hunter v. Bron, [1963] 2 All E.R. 16  
 Deeks v. Wells, [1930] 4 D.L.R. 513, 522; affd. [1931] 4 D.L.R. 533, 547; affd. [1933] 1 D.L.R. 353, 356-357  
 Hanfstaengl v. Baines, [1895] A.C. 20, 30-31  
 Plix Products v. Winstone, [1986] F.S.R. 63

## (c) Substantial part

Hawkes v. Paramount, [1934] 1 Ch. 593

## (d) Information and ideas

Elanco v. Mandops, [1980] R.P.C. 213  
 Chilton v. Progress, [1895] 2 Ch. D. 29  
 Ravenscroft v. Herbert, [1980] R.P.C. 193  
 Harman v. Osborne, [1967] 2 All E.R. 324, 328  
 Nichols v. Universal Pictures, (1930), 45 F. 2d 119, 121  
 Kelly v. Cinema Houses, [1928-35] MacG. Cop. Cas. 362



Kantel v. Grant [1933] Ex. C.R. 84, 87, 94-96  
 Moreau v. St. Vincent, [1950] Ex. C.R. 198, 204-205, 207  
 Bauman v. Fussell, [1978] R.P.C. 485  
 Alt v. Morello, (1985) 227 U.S.P.Q. 49

(e) Fair dealing

Williams & Wilkins v. U.S., (1974), 487 F. 2d 1345, affd.  
 (1975), 95 S. Ct. 1344  
 Sony v. Universal City Studios, (1984), 220 U.S.P.Q. 665  
 Hubbard v. Vosper [1972] All E.R. 1023, 1026-1028, 1031  
 Williamson Music v. Pearson, [1987] F.S.R. 97

(f) Authorizing

Moorhouse v. University of New South Wales, [1976] R.P.C. 151  
 CBS v. Ames, [1981] R.P.C. 407  
 A & M Records v. Audio Magnetics, [1979] F.S.R. 1  
 Winstone v. Wurlitzer, [1946] V.L.R. 338  
 Amstrad v. British Phonographic, [1986] F.S.R. 159

(g) Public interest

Lion Laboratories v. Evans, [1984] 2 All E.R. 417  
 The Queen v. Lorimer, (1984), 77 C.P.R. (2d) 262

(h) Offences

R. v. Kirkwood, (1983), 73 C.P.R. (2d) 114  
 R. v. Stewart, (1983) 42 O.R. (2d) 225

(8) Moral rights:

Gnass v. Cite d'Alma (June 30, 1977; P.Q.C.A.)  
 Snow v. Eaton, (1983), 70 C.P.R. (2d) 105

### 3. INDUSTRIAL DESIGNS:

References: Fox, "Canadian Law of Copyright", 2nd ed. (1967),  
pp. 650-691  
Hughes on Copyright and Industrial Design (1984)  
Russell-Clarke, "Copyright in Industrial Designs" 5th  
ed. (1974)

(1) Industrial Design Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. I-8

Industrial Designs Rules, C.R.C. 1978, c. 964

(2) Registration:

Melnor v. Lido, [1971] S.C.R. 72

Mainetti v. ERA Display, (1984), 80 C.P.R. (2d) 206

(3) Subject matter:

35 U.S. Code, s. 171

Cimon v. Bench Made, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 811, 828-833, 845-847

Clatworthy v. Dale, [1929] S.C.R. 429, 434-5

Re Clarke's Design, (1896), 13 R.P.C. 351, 358, 361

Amp v. Utilux, [1972] R.P.C. 103, 107-110, 113

Interlego v. Alex Folley, [1987] F.S.R. 283

King Features v. Kleeman, [1941] A.C. 417, 427

Doral v. Bayliner, (1986), 10 C.P.R. (3d) 289

British Leyland v. Armstrong, [1987] R.P.C. 279

Spiro-Flex v. Progressive Sealing, (1987), 13 C.P.R. (3d) 311

Rucker v. Gavel's, (1986), 7 C.P.R. (3d) 294

Rose Plastics v. Wm. Beckett, [1987] 11 E.I.P.R. D-233

Wham-O v. Lincoln, [1985] R.P.C. 127

Mazer v. Stein, (1954), 347 U.S. 201

(4) Novelty and originality:

Saunders v. Weil, (1893), 10 R.P.C. 29, 33

Dean's Rag Book v. Pomerantz, (1930), 47 R.P.C. 485, 491

Rosedale v. Airfix, [1957] R.P.C. 239, 244

Bata v. Warrington, (1985), 5 C.P.R. (3d) 339

(5) Infringement:

R. v. Premier Cutlery, (1981), 55 C.P.R. (2d) 134

Re Bayer's Design, (1907), 24 R.P.C. 65, 76-77

Valor v. Main Gas, [1973] R.P.C. 871, 877

Phillips v. Harbro, (1920), 37 R.P.C. 233, 239-240

Walker v. Scott, (1892), 9 R.P.C. 482, 485

Toy Loader Application, (1978), 36 C.P.R. (2d) 234

Algonquin v. Dart, (1985), 1 C.P.R. (3d) 75



## 4. PATENTS

References: Fox, "Canadian Patent Law and Practice" 4th ed. (1969)  
 Hughes and Woodley on Patents (1984)  
 Terrell, "Patents", 13th ed. (1982)  
 Blanco White, "Encyclopedia of United Kingdom and  
 European Patent Law"

## (1) Introduction:

Statute of Monopolies, 21 Jac. I c. 3 s. 6; R.S.O. 1980  
 Appendix A, p. 3  
 Patent Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. P-4  
 British United Shoe v. Fussell, (1908), 25 R.P.C. 631,  
 649-652

## (2) Subject matter:

Lane-Fox v. Kensington, (1892), 9 R.P.C. 413, 416  
 G.E.C.'s Application, (1943), 60 R.P.C. 1, 4  
 National Research Development Corp. v. Commissioner, (1959),  
 102 C.L.R. 252  
 North Oakland's Application, (1984), 82 C.P.R. (2d) 282  
 IBM's Application, [1980] F.S.R. 564, 568-569, 572-573  
 Re Walter, (1980), 205 U.S.P.Q. 397  
 Diamond v. Diehr, (1981), 209 U.S.P.Q. 1, 4-10  
 Diamond v. Bradley, (1979), 202 U.S.P.Q. 480, affd. (1981),  
 209 U.S.P.Q. 97  
 Schlumberger v. Commissioner, (1981), 56 C.P.R. (2d) 204  
 Re Bergy, (1979), 201 U.S.P.Q. 352, 360-369, 371-373  
 Diamond v. Chakrabarty, (1980), 206 U.S.P.Q. 193  
 Abitibi's Application, (1982), 62 C.P.R. (2d) 81  
 Pioneer High-Bred v. Commissioner of Patents, (1987), 14  
 C.P.R. (3d) 491.  
 Tennessee Eastman v. Commissioner, [1974] S.C.R. 111  
 Imperial Chemical Industries v. Commissioner, (1986) 9  
 C.P.R. (3d) 289  
 Organon's Application, (1974), 15 C.P.R. (2d) 273  
 Wellcome's Application, [1981] F.S.R. 72  
 Eli Lilly's Application, [1975] R.P.C. 438  
 Organon's Application, [1970] R.P.C. 574  
 Sandoz v. Gilcross, [1974] S.C.R. 1336  
 Shell v. Commissioner, (1983), 67 C.P.R. (2d) 1

## (3) The patent specification:

Natural Colour v. Bioschemes, (1915), 32 R.P.C. 256, 269-270  
 American Cyanamid v. Ethicon, [1979] R.P.C. 215, 245-246,  
 251-255, 261.  
 Minerals Separation v. Noranda Mines, (1952), 69 R.P.C. 81,  
 92-93  
 Henriksen v. Tallon, [1965] R.P.C. 434

Amfac v. McCain, (1987), 12 C.P.R. (3d) 193  
 Farbwerke Hoechst v. Gilbert, [1966] S.C.R. 189

(4) Novelty:

35 U.S. Code, s. 104  
 Electric and Musical Industries v. Lissen, (1939), 56 R.P.C.  
 23, 39, 41-43  
 Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing v. Bondina [1973] R.P.C.  
 491, 521  
 Bristol-Myers' Application, [1975] R.P.C. 127  
 Canadian Patent Scaffolding v. Delzotto, (1978), 42 C.P.R.  
 (2d) 7, 24-32  
 TP Laboratories v. Professional Positioners, (1984), 220  
 U.S.P.Q. 577

(5) Obviousness:

35 U.S. Code, s. 103  
 Graham v. John Deere, (1965), 383 U.S. 1  
 Xerox v. IBM, (1977), 33 C.P.R. (2d) 24, 32-37, 61-62, 86  
 Rado v. Tye, [1969] F.S.R. 563, 565-6  
 General Tire v. Firestone, [1971] R.P.C. 173, 232, 245-6;  
 affd. [1972] R.P.C. 457, 482-483, 485-486, 499-500  
 Windsurfing v. Tabur, [1985] R.P.C. 59, 71-73, 77  
 C. Van der Lely v. Ruston's, [1985] R.P.C. 461, 505  
 Farbwerke Hoechst v. Halocarbon, (1979), 42 C.P.R. (2d) 145,  
 153-156  
 Procter & Gamble v. Calgon, (1981), 56 C.P.R. (2d) 214, 240  
 Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing v. Beiersdorf, (1980), 144  
 C.L.R. 253, 293  
 Technograph v. Mills, [1969] R.P.C. 395, 404-5, 407-8, affd.  
 [1972] R.P.C. 346, 355  
 Carl Schenck v. Nortron, (1983), 218 U.S.P.Q. 698

(6) Utility:

Societe Rhone-Poulenc v. Gilbert, (1967), 35 Fox Pat. C. 174;  
 affd. [1968] S.C.R. 950  
 Monsanto v. Commissioner [1979] 2 S.C.R. 1108  
 Burton Parsons v. Hewlett-Packard, [1976] 1 S.C.R. 555  
 Norton & Gregory v. Jacobs, (1937), 54 R.P.C. 271  
 Metalliflex v. Rodi & Wienenberger, [1961] S.C.R. 117,  
 121-122

(7) Infringement:

McPhar v. Sharpe, [1956-1960] Ex. C.R. 467  
 Catnic v. Hill, [1982] R.P.C. 183  
 Baxter v. Cutter, (1981), 52 C.P.R. (2d) 163  
 Wilderman v. Berk, (1925), 42 R.P.C. 79, 88  
 Beecham v. Bristol, [1977] F.S.R. 215

Morton-Norwich v. Intercen, [1976] F.S.R. 513, 521-526  
 Kalman v. PCL Packaging, [1982] F.S.R. 406  
 35 U.S. Code, s. 271; UK Patents Act, 1977, s. 60  
 Copeland-Chatterson v. Hatton, (1906), 10 Ex. C.R. 224,  
 affd. (1906), 37 S.C.R. 251  
 Reading & Bates v. Baker, (1986) 9 C.P.R. (3d) 158, affd. 20  
 Nov. 1987  
 Slater v. Payer, (1968), 38 Fox Pat. C. 139, 157, 163-4  
 Procter & Gamble v. Bristol-Myers, (1979), 39 C.P.R. (2d)  
 145, 165-170, affd. 42 C.P.R. (2d) 33, 35  
 Amstrad v. British Phonographic Industry, [1986] F.S.R. 159  
 Windsurfing v. Trilantic, (1986) 8 C.P.R. (3d) 241  
 Solar Thomson v. Barton, [1977] R.P.C. 537

(8) Remedies:

City of Milwaukee v. Activated Sludge, (1934), 69 F. 2d 577  
 Armstrong v. Domco, (1983), 66 C.P.R. (2d) 46

(9) Statutory curtailments:

Bristol-Myers v. Beecham, (1980), 48 C.P.R. (2d) 87  
 Smith Kline & French v. A-G, (1986), 7 C.P.R. (3d) 145  
 Formea v. Polymer, [1968] S.C.R. 754  
 Libby-Owens-Ford v. Ford, [1969], 1 Ex. C.R. 529, affd.  
 [1970] S.C.R. 833  
 Peterson v. Plastiseal, (1973), 8 C.P.R. (2d) 222; (1974), 14  
 C.P.R. (2d) 48, 52  
 Procter & Gamble v. Calgon, (1981), 56 C.P.R. (2d) 214, 243  
 Lido v. Teledyne, (1981), 57 C.P.R. (2d) 29

## 5. ABUSE OF EXCLUSIVE RIGHTS:

## (1) Sales sub modo:

Badische v. Isler, (1906), 23 R.P.C. 173, 180  
 Incandescent v. Cantelo, (1895), 12 R.P.C. 262, 264-265  
 National Phonograph v. Menck, (1911), 28 R.P.C. 229, 245-6

## (2) U.S. and U.K. developments:

Hazeltine v. Zenith, (1969), 395 U.S. 100  
 Pfizer v. F.T.C., (1968), 401 F. 2d 574  
 Darcy v. Allein, (1602), 77 E.R. 1260, 1263  
 Motion Picture Patents v. Universal, (1917), 243 U.S. 502  
 Morton Salt v. Suppiger, (1942), 314 U.S. 488  
 British Leyland v. Armstrong, [1984] F.S.R. 591  
 BAT v. E.C. Commission, [1985] F.S.R. 537

## (3) Enforceability of restraints:

Copeland-Chatterson v. Hatton, (1906), 10 Ex. C.R. 224, 237;  
 affd. 37 S.C.R. 651  
 Mouchel v. Cubitt, (1907), 24 R.P.C. 194  
 Tool Metal v. Tungsten, (1955), 72 R.P.C. 209, 214, 218  
 United Shoe Machinery v. Brunet, [1909] A.C. 300

## (4) The Competition Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-23 as amended:

Tank Lining v. Dunlop, (1983), 40 O.R. (2d) 219

## (5) Defences to infringement actions:

Rodi v. Watchstraps, (1958), 18 Fox Pat. C. 75  
 RBM v. Philips, (1973), 9 C.P.R. (2d) 46; 10 C.P.R. (2d) 23  
 Amoco v. Texaco, [1976] 1 F.C. 258  
 Culzean v. Midwestern Broom, (1984), 82 C.P.R. (2d) 175  
 Time-Life v. Interstate, [1978] F.S.R. 251, 286-287  
 Eli Lilly v. Marzone, (1977), 29 C.P.R. (2d) 253  
 Cat Productions v. Macedo, (1985), 5 C.P.R. (3d) 71

## 6. TRADE SECRETS &amp; CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION:

References: Turner, "The Law of Trade Secrets", (1962)  
 Fox, "The Canadian Law of Trade Marks and Unfair  
 Competition", 3rd ed. (1972), Chapter 13  
 Hughes and Woodley on Patents (1984)

## (1) Establishment of a confidential relationship:

Hospital Products v. U.S. Surgical, (1984), 55 A.L.R. 417  
 Prince Albert v. Strange, (1849), 1 H. & Tw. 1  
 Argyll v. Argyll, [1965] 1 All E.R. 611  
 Triplex v. Scorah, (1938), 55 R.P.C. 21, 28  
 Canadian Aero Services v. O'Malley, [1974] S.C.R. 592  
 United Sterling v. Felton, [1974] R.P.C. 162  
 Cranleigh v. Bryant, [1966] R.P.C. 81  
 Hivac v. Park Royal, [1946] Ch. 169  
 Balston v. Headline, [1987] F.S.R. 330  
 Pre-Cam v. McTavish, [1966] S.C.R. 551  
 Saltman v. Campbell, (1948), 65 R.P.C. 203, 211-6  
 Promotivate v. Toronto Star, (1986), 8 C.P.R. (3d) 546  
 Shellmar v. Allen-Qualley, (1929), 36 F. 2d 623  
 Coco v. Clark, [1969] R.P.C. 41, 46-50  
 Moorgate v. Philip Morris, [1985] R.P.C. 219, 234  
 Kewanee v. Bicron, (1974), 416 U.S. 470

## (2) The nature of the information sought to be protected:

Thomas Marshall v. Guinle, [1979] F.S.R. 208  
 Interfirm Comparison v. Law Society, [1977] R.P.C. 137  
 Exchange Telegraph v. Central News, [1897] 2 Ch. 48  
 Ansell v. Allied Rubber, [1972] R.P.C. 811  
 Microtherm v. Percy, [1957] R.P.C. 207  
 Talbot v. General Television, [1981] R.P.C. 1

## (3) Persons subject to liability:

Seager v. Copydex, [1967] R.P.C. 349, 367-8, 371  
 Weld-Blundell v. Stephens, [1920] A.C. 956  
 Lord Ashburton v. Pape, [1913] 2 Ch. 469  
 Morison v. Moat, (1851), 9 Hare 241  
 B.C. Lightweight v. Canada Cement, (1983), 72 C.P.R. (2d)  
 1, 16  
 British Industrial Plastics v. Ferguson, (1941), 58 R.P.C. 1  
 Colgate v. Carter, (1956), 230 F. 2d 855, 864  
 Printers v. Holloway, [1965] R.P.C. 239, 252-253  
 Restatement of Torts, (1939) ss. 757-758  
 Conmar v. Universal, (1949), 172 F. 2d 150, 156  
 Stevenson v. McDonald, (1951), 68 R.P.C. 190, 195; rev.  
 (1952), 69 R.P.C. 10, 16



Abernethy v. Hutchison, (1825), 1 H. & Tw. 28  
 Boardman v. Phipps, [1967] 2 A.C. 46  
 Wheatley v. Bell, (1982), 2 N.S.W.L.R. 544  
 Goddard v. Nationwide, [1986], 3 WLR 734, 745  
 De Acosta v. Brown, (1944), 146 F. 2d 408  
 Union Carbide v. Naturin, [1987] F.S.R. 538  
 DuPont v. Christopher, (1970), 431 F. 2d 1012  
 Francome v. Mirror Newspapers, [1984] 2 All E.R. 408  
 Copyright Agency v. Haines, [1982] F.S.R. 331, 344  
 Franklin v. Giddins, [1978] Qd. R. 72

(4) Duration of obligations:

Aronson v. Quick Point, (1979), 201 U.S.P.Q. 1  
 Regina Glass Fibre v. Schuller, [1972] R.P.C. 229  
 Mustad v. Dosen, [1963] R.P.C. 41  
 Peter Pan v. Corsets Silhouette, [1963] R.P.C. 45  
 Reliable Toy v. Collins, (1950), 13 C.P.R. 53  
 Schering v. Falkman, [1981] 2 W.L.R. 848  
 Lamb v. Evans, [1893] 1 Ch. 218  
 House of Spring Garden v. Point Blank, [1985] F.S.R. 327  
 G. v. Day, [1982] 1 N.S.W.L.R. 24  
 Bee Chemical v. Plastic Paint, (1980) 47 C.P.R. (2d) 133  
 Winston v. M.M.M., (1965), 350 F. 2d 134  
 Roger Bullivant v. Ellis, [1987] I.C.R. 464  
 Underwater Storage v. U.S. Rubber, (1966), 371 F. 2d 950  
 Dunford v. Johnson, [1978] F.S.R. 145  
 Speed Seal v. Paddington, [1986] F.S.R. 309  
 Church of Scientology v. Kaufman, [1973] R.P.C. 635  
 Woodward v. Hutchins, [1977] 2 All E.R. 751  
 Initial Services v. Putteril, [1967] 3 All E.R. 145  
 Parry-Jones v. Law Society, [1969] 1 Ch. 1  
 Attorney-General v. Guardian Newspapers, [1987] 1 W.L.R. 1248  
 British Steel v. Granada Television, [1980] 3 W.L.R. 774  
 Wilden Pump v. Fusfeld, [1985] F.S.R. 581  
 Canadian Javelin v. Sparling, (1982), 59 C.P.R. (2d) 146

(5) Offenses:

R. v. Offley, (1986), 11 C.P.R. (3d) 231

## 7. UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES

- Mogul v. McGregor, (1889), 23 Q.B.D. 598, 613, 625-626, affd.  
 [1892] A.C. 25, 36
- Combines Investigation Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-23, ss. 31.1, 32
- Schoolmaster's Case, (1410), Y.B. 11 H. 4, f 47, pl 21 (not  
 in the library)
- Tuttle v. Buck, (1909), 119 N.W. 946
- Allen v. Flood [1898] A.C. 1
- Acrow v. Rex Chainbelt, [1971] 1 W.L.R. 1676, 1682
- Lonhro v. Shell, [1981] 2 All E.R. 456, 462-464
- Van Camp v. Aulsebrooks, [1984] 1 N.Z.L.R. 354
- Victoria Park v. Taylor, (1937), 58 C.L.R. 479, 494, 496-8,  
 508-9
- International News Service v. Associated Press, (1918), 248  
 U.S. 215, 240, 250, 257, 259, 262-3
- Cheney v. Doris Silk, (1929), 35 F. 2d 279
- Capitol Records v. Erickson, (1969), 164 U.S.P.Q. 465, 471
- R.C.A. v. Pollard, [1983] F.S.R. 9, 16, 22
- Cadbury-Schweppes v. Pub Squash, [1981] R.P.C. 429
- Eldon v. Reliable Toy, [1966] 1 O.R. 409